IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISHGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

(5)

W.P.(S) No. 643/2007

Petitioner ..... Smt. Voilet Kumar, aged 53 years
C/o Ramesh Kumar,
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School,
Gathula Tahsil and District Rajnandgaon

Versus

Respondents 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
School Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 7316/07
Presented Somzant Verma
dated 16/10/07

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS,

(24)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 6499 of 2007

PETITIONER		Smt. Voilet Kumar, aged 53 years C/o Ramesh Kumar, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Gathula Tahsil and District Rajnandgaon
		Versus
RESPONDENTS	**1**	The State Government of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
	2	The District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pradeep Singh, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(31.10.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has passed B.T.I. examination on her own expenses, therefore, she is entitled to receive two advance increments according to circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999. He also submits that in many identical matters, the petitions have been allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}***. He submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the said judgment.

This legal position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State. However, he submits that the order may be passed in terms of the said judgment, but it shall be subjected to verification regarding petitioner's such qualification.

Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal*

(25)

Corporation, Durg and another (Supra) subject to verification of the facts as to whether the petitioner had acquired B.T.I. Examination Certificate on her own expenses as per circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether she has been paid two advance increments or not.

The petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

vatti